IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 872 of 2005 (M/B)

Fhinchar and others ……. Petitioners

Versus

State of Uttaranchal and others …… Respondents

……..

Sri Vinod Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.
Sri H.C.Pande, Addl. Government Advocate for respondents 1 and 2.
None for respondent no. 3.

Coram: Hon'ble Rajeev Gupta, C.J.
Hon'ble Prafulla C. Pant, J.

RAJEEV GUPTA, C. J. (Oral)

Sri Vinod Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Sri H.C.Pande, Addl. Government Advocate for respondents 1 & 2.

None for respondent no. 3.

2. Sri Pande, the learned Addl. Government Advocate submits that the writ petition filed by the petitioners seeking quashing of the first information report and the case registered against them has now become infructuous as the police has completed the investigation of the case and has filed charge-sheet against the petitioners 3 to 7, 11 and 14 and against the remaining petitioners charge-sheet has not been filed.

3. In this view of the matter, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous.

(Prafulla C. Pant, J.) 06.06.2006 **(Rajeev Gupta, C. J.)** 06.06.2006

A